Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/603,905	KEDEM, RAFAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghia M. Doan	2825	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Nghia M. Doan</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. David S. Atkinson (Reg. 56,655).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>7 June 2007</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: claims 1,6,11, and 15			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
	•		
Anghah			
(Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appr	opriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated a telephone interviews with Applicant representative to clarify the phrase of "pre-diffused IP blocks" and other phrases as well as "selected interface pin", which recited in claims 1, 6, and/or 11. Furthermore, Examiner also suggests the limitation of claim 15 is incorporated into the claim 11 that provides a complete of hardware emulation architecture and the Applicant representative agreed with Examiner suggestion.

Nghia Doan Patent Examiner AU 2825 (571) 272-5973.